	Case 2:22-cv-00850-TLN-CKD Docume	ent 5 Filed 07/06/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ROBERT WOOTEN,	No. 2:22-cv-00850 TLN CKD (PS)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. The matter was referred to a United States	
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c).	
19	On June 3, 2022, the undersigned issued an order to show cause no later than June 20,	
20	2022 why this action should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Plaintiff was advised that	
21	failure to allege a proper basis for jurisdiction would result in a recommendation that this action	
22	be dismissed. The deadline has passed, and plaintiff has not shown cause why this action should	
23	not be dismissed.	
24	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for lack of	
25	jurisdiction.	
26	In light of these recommendations, IT IS ALSO HEREBY ORDERED that all pleading,	
27	discovery, and motion practice in this action are STAYED pending resolution of the findings and	
28	recommendations. With the exception of objections to the findings and recommendations and	
		1

Case 2:22-cv-00850-TLN-CKD Document 5 Filed 07/06/22 Page 2 of 2

any non-frivolous motions for emergency relief, the court will not entertain or respond to any motions and other filings until the findings and recommendations are resolved.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2/wooten0850.f&r-nojuris

Dated: July 6, 2022